

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,245	05/02/2001	· Roy Haworth	60426-253.2000P07632US01	5045
24500	7590 09/20	2004	EXAMI	NER
	CORPORATION	WOO, STELLA L		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN, NJ	08830		2643	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	. 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/847,245	HAWORTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stella L. Woo	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		t				
,	 s action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	,—					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	L.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
· _ ·	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		diantian No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Burea	•	ceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ceived				
222 III 211231104 dotallod Office delicit for differ	The designed deplots not lot					
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) <i>f</i> ail Date				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	) 5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2, 4</u> .	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245

Art Unit: 2643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 10-11, 15-17, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Everingham (US 5,828,759).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19-20, Everingham discloses an air induction system (Fig. 1) comprising:

an air induction body (main air duct housing 12) having a mouth (large diameter end which frame 18 is attached; col. 2, lines 26-30);

a speaker (speaker 30);

a microphone (microphone 42 is connected to main air duct housing 12 via frame 18; col.

2, lines 60-64); and

a control unit (broadband amplifier 44; col. 2, line 65 – col. 3, line 9).

Regarding claims 2, 11, microphone 42 is connected to the large diameter end of main air duct housing 12 via frame 18 (Figure 1; col. 2, lines 60-64).

Regarding claims 6-8, 15-17, note radial legs 28 (see Figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3, 5, 9, 12, 14, 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everingham in view of Shipps et al. (US 6,072,880, hereinafter "Shipps").

Everingham differs from claims 3 and 12 in that it does not specify a flex cable communicating with the speaker. However, Shipps teaches the well known use of a flex cable (col. 4, lines 59-62; col. 10, lines 48-50) for connecting the speaker in an active exhaust silencing system such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to use a flex cable, as taught by Shipps, within the air induction system of Everingham for providing connection to the speaker.

Everingham differs from claims 5, 9, 14, 18 in that it does not specify a screen spanning at least a portion of the mouth. However, Shipps teaches the desirability of placing a screen across the mouth of an air induction enclosure (enclosure 12 includes a port 22 which is covered by a screen 114 to prevent debris from entering the enclosure; col. 7, lines 56-61; Figure 1) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of a screen, as taught by Shipps, within the air induction system of Everingham in order to prevent debris from entering the air duct housing.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claims 4, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everingham in view of Cain et al. (US 5,457,749, hereinafter "Cain").

Everingham differs from claims 4, 13 in that it does not specify frame 18 as being plastic. However, Cain teaches the desirability of using plastic to support a microphone assembly in an engine noise cancellation system because plastic is an inexpensive material yet capable of withstanding high temperatures and severe weather conditions (col. 3, line 66 – col. 4, line 2; col. 5, lines 49-50; col. 6, lines 60-62). It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of plastic, as taught by Cain, as the material for constructing the frame 18 of Everingham in order to take advantage of the inexpensive yet durable properties of plastic.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STELLA WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER